IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

WAYNE ABRAHAMSON,) 4:05CV3039
BERGMAN BROTHERS, and)
WAYNE CARLSON,)
Plaintiffs,)
,)
VS.)
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF) MEMORANDUM
HOLDREGE, KENNETH) AND ORDER
SLOMINSKY, ERIC TITUS,)
RONALD STERR, TIM WIEBE,)
JEANETTE HARDEN, KIRK)
RILEY, MARK UTTER, and)
DOUGLAS SCOTT LATTER,)
Defendants.)
	_)
) 4.05CV2040
PHILLIP M, KELLY, as Trustee of) 4:05CV3040
the bankruptcy estate of DENNIS R.)
DAMROW, together with)
SHERRY DAMROW, as their)
interests may be established,)
Plaintiffs,)
VS.))
)
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF) MEMORANDUM
HOLDREGE, KENNETH) AND ORDER
SLOMINSKY, ERIC TITUS,)
RONALD STERR, TIM WIEBE,)
JEANETTE HARDEN, KIRK)
RILEY, MARK UTTER, and)
DOUGLAS SCOTT LATTER,)
Defendants.))
	_)

DONALD DAMROW,) 4:05CV3041
Plaintiff,)
VS.)
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF HOLDREGE, KENNETH SLOMINSKY, ERIC TITUS, RONALD STERR, TIM WIEBE, JEANETTE HARDEN, KIRK RILEY, MARK UTTER, and DOUGLAS SCOTT LATTER,) MEMORANDUM) AND ORDER)))))
Defendants.)))
MARTIN DAMROW,	4:05CV3042
Plaintiff,)
VS.)
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF HOLDREGE, KENNETH SLOMINSKY, ERIC TITUS, RONALD STERR, TIM WIEBE, JEANETTE HARDEN, KIRK RILEY, MARK UTTER, and DOUGLAS SCOTT LATTER,))
Defendants.)

DAMROW and DAMROW, a) 4:05CV3043
General Partnership,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
VS.)
)
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF) MEMORANDUM
HOLDREGE, KENNETH) AND ORDER
SLOMINSKY, ERIC TITUS,)
RONALD STERR, TIM WIEBE,)
JEANETTE HARDEN, KIRK)
RILEY, MARK UTTER, and)
DOUGLAS SCOTT LATTER,)
Defendants.)
Defendants.)
)
DAMROW FARMS, a) 4:05CV3044
General Partnership,)
)
Plaintiff,)
VS.)
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF) MEMORANDUM
HOLDREGE, KENNETH) AND ORDER
SLOMINSKY, ERIC TITUS,)
RONALD STERR, TIM WIEBE,)
JEANETTE HARDEN, KIRK)
RILEY, MARK UTTER, and)
DOUGLAS SCOTT LATTER,)
)
Defendants.)
)

I previously entered orders in each of these cases granting motions to dismiss, accompanied by judgments. The plaintiffs in each of these six cases have filed motions to alter or amend the dismissal orders (and, presumably, the judgments) to allow them to file amended complaints. No proposed amended complaints were filed. Upon consideration, I find that the motions should be denied.

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. The motions to alter or amend the judgment (4:05CV3039, filing 48; 4:05CV3040, filing 49; 4:05CV3041, filing 44; 4:05CV3042, filing 43; 4:05CV3043, filing 46; 4:05CV3044, filing 48) are denied; and
- 2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to file this memorandum and order in each of the individual cases reflected in the joint caption.

February 15, 2006.

BY THE COURT:

s/Richard G. Kopf
United States District Judge